

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/551,159	TANAKA ET AL.	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) John J. Zimmerman. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John J. Kelly, Jr. (Reg. No. 29,182). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 April 2009 **Time:** 5:40 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

4-7

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner indicated that the elected article claims were allowable and asked if applicant wanted rejoinder of the non-elected method claims. Mr. Kelly elected to have non-elected claims 4-7 rejoined. The examiner indicated that the limitations of claim 6 were redundant since they were already contained in independent claim 1 and requested permission to cancel this claim. Permission was given to cancel claim 6 by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John J. Zimmerman/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

